

Applicant: Nenoen et al.
Application No.: 10/695,483
Response to Office action dated May 12, 2005
Amendment dated May 23, 2005

Remarks

Claims 1–9 remain pending in the application. In the Office action dated May 12, 2005, the claims were rejected as indefinite under 35 U.S.C. 112.

The claims have been amended to address the objections of the examiner. The “box-type” language has been removed from claim 3, and the claimed structure clarified; and “cylinder-like” has been replaced with “cylindrical” in claim 7. Claims 1 and 7 have been amended to clarify the language regarding the first roll. In claim 1, the first roll is simply one of the rolls which support the fabric. Likewise, in claim 9, the first roll is one of the plurality or rolls which support the endless loop of the fabric. Claim 9 has been amended to clarify the location of the gaps, and to define the roll end, and to remove a redundant “first”.

Applicant believes that no new matter has been added by this amendment. Applicant submits that the claims, as amended, are in condition for allowance. Favorable action thereon is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



David R.J. Stiennon, Reg. No. 33212
Attorney for Applicant
Stiennon & Stiennon
P.O. Box 1667
Madison, Wisconsin 53701-1667
(608) 250-4870
Amdt2.res